

ANALYTICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter One: The Value Problem for Knowledge

The primary value problem. The secondary value problem. The tertiary value problem. The swamping problem applied to reliabilism about knowledge. The swamping problem generalised as posing a difficulty for a certain conception of epistemic value. Fundamental and non-fundamental epistemic goods. Fundamental epistemic goods distinguished from finally valuable epistemic goods. Epistemic value T-monism. The swamping problem expressed as a possible *reductio* of epistemic value T-monism. The swamping problem contrasted with the primary value problem. The practical response to the swamping problem. The monistic response to the swamping problem. The pluralist response to the swamping problem. The relevance of final value.

Chapter Two: Knowledge and Final Value

Robust *versus* modest virtue epistemology. The achievement thesis. The knowledge as achievement thesis. The value of achievements thesis. The robust virtue epistemologist's argument for the final value of knowledge. Robust virtue epistemology as a potentially reductive account of knowledge. *Contra* the knowledge as achievement thesis (I): the problem of environmental luck and cases of achievement without knowledge. *Contra* the knowledge as achievement thesis (II): cases of knowledge without achievement. *Contra* robust virtue epistemology. Knowledge is not finally valuable.

Chapter Three: Anti-Luck Virtue Epistemology

Two master intuitions about knowledge: the ability intuition and the anti-luck intuition. Robust anti-luck epistemology and robust virtue epistemology are unable to accommodate both intuitions. Anti-luck epistemology. Anti-luck epistemology as a potentially reductive account of knowledge. A genealogical diagnosis of the structure of knowledge. A response to the primary and secondary value problems, and to the swamping problem.

Chapter Four: Understanding

The problem of easy achievements. The strong achievement thesis. The final value of (strong) achievements. The final value of (strong) cognitive achievements. Understanding why X is the case. The factivity of understanding. Understanding is incompatible with 'Gettier-style' epistemic luck. Understanding is compatible with environmental epistemic luck. Understanding without knowledge. Knowledge without understanding. All understanding involves (strong) cognitive achievement. Understanding is finally valuable. Potential implications of the final value of understanding thesis for (i) the proper target of the problem of radical scepticism, and (ii) the goal of inquiry.